

(1921) Department

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1037W

Recd
26/9/24

DATED 18-9-24

[Despatch Abstract.]

Back Papers.

80.93W & 23-1-23

164-W & 14-2-22

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote
number, date and short
title.

Received _____ Registered _____
M. R. Ry., Rao Bahadur
From (Name) R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliar Avergall B.A.,
B.C.E.,
(Designation) Surg. Engineer, Madras Circle,
Post Box _____, (Station) Madras.
Telegraphic Address _____



The Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department.

No. 1139(W), dated 15/6/1924.

ENCLOSURES _____

Sub:- Completion report for the work of
'Improvements to Alipuram Jail, Bellary'.

SPARE COPIES _____

E

I have the honour to forward herewith the detailed completion report for the work of 'Improvements to Alipuram Jail, Bellary' approved in G.O. No. 164 W. dated 14-2-1922 and to request that you will kindly obtain the sanction of Government to the excess outlay of Rs.18,904 incurred over the Original estimate of Rs.12,570. As the work was executed by the Jail Department, the excess expenditure may kindly be passed at an early date. In this connection attention is invited to your Memo. No.5873 C. dated ---- 20-8-23.

'H'

A. Maniappan
9.6.24

for Supg. Engineer, Madras Circle.

A.D./7-6-24.

Enc. 1 detailed completion
report.

1.60
H.L. 8th No 1342 W.A. From the S. & Madras C.A.
No 1139 W of 10.6.24.

Improvements to the Alipuram Jail
Bellary. Completion report :-

No

The Completion report for 3
- work, may be sent to T for remarks
the facts stated

17.6.24
17.6.24
D.W.

17.6.24
17.6.24

16.6.24
16.6.24

Vide G.O. No 164 W
and 15.2.22 'F'
p. 2 of 5873 C 20.8.23 H

The work has been executed by the Supdt,
Alipuram Jail, acting as a P.W. disburser. The
p.p. 2-4 C.F. completion report now submitted explains the excess
outlay incurred on the work over the original techno
technical amount of Rs 12,570, as required by A.G.
in his W.O. note no 304 dated 8th August 1923. The
completion report does not seem to call for any
remarks in T section. The file may be sent to
Wks.A. for putting up a draft order on the case

p. 4 of 5873 C 20.8.23 H

6/11
7.7.24
17.6.24

No

A draft, secret note and a draft
order authorising the A.G. to pass the
excess expenditure are put up.

10.7.24
10.7.24

12/8/24

12/8/24

12/7/24

Lfr-80 C no 1342 sub A. From the S.E. Madras Circle
no 1139 W & 10 B. 24.

Improvements to Alipparam Jail. Bellary
Completion report: —


218

An estimate for the above work
for Rs 12070/- was technically sanctioned
by the S.E. Bellary circle in CR no 91/70-ry
22 against the administrative sanction
accorded in G.O no 164 W & 14.2.22 for
Rs 13900/-. In G.O no 93 W & 23.1.23, a
revised estimate for the work was
again approved by Govt., and plans
and estimate returned to the S.E. Madras
circle for technical sanction. The S.E.
reported that for the reasons stated
in his letter, the technical sanction
need not be insisted on ⁱⁿ the present
case and that the A.G. might be
authorised to pass the excess
expenditure as a special case. The
A.G. to whom the matter was referred,
stated that the excess over the technical
sanction might be explained in a
completion report and passed by the
S.E. Madras circle and forward
a completion report for the work. It

11 of memo no 5873C
20.8.23

Vide p. 11. 11. 23

It will be seen therefrom that many of the items are new ones not included in the original estimate. The reasons for the ~~excess~~ expenditure of Rs 18904 in excess of the amount technically sanctioned by the S.E., are explained by the Supdt, Alipuram Jail, on pp 2 and 4 of. A Draft order authorising the A.G. to pass the excess expenditure is submitted. It may be forwarded to the Law (Genl) and Finance Depts for acceptance prior to circulation.



to
7.24
11.7.24


12/8/24

12/7/24

Law (Genl)
In

P.W. no 1342 W/S/24-1
13.8.24

Law (81) Dye



AS

The draft may be accepted in this department and the file passed on to Finance

KW
20.8.24


19/8/24

1/8/24 S VTK
22.8

Finance u.o

FT

Law (Genl) no 4569 C-1
25.8.24



7037624
26.8.24
M.W.

Finance (Exp.)

P.2 CF

The excess expenditure involved in the Case is Rs 18,904 and not Rs 18404 as stated in the draft order PWD may be requested to amend the draft accordingly. It may otherwise be accepted.

On UR
21.8.24 3.9.24

RWD
3/9.

P.W.D

2006 Exp-1
4.9.24

PC-24



R.W.D

2110.

US

The draft has been amended and may be issued. It is for consideration if the draft should be circulated to M₂.

10.9.24.

2

1/11/24

1/11/24

D.12. D Box NO 26

A.
B.
N.P.

(a)

+ G.O. No.

DEPARTMENT.

ORDINARY
~~ROUTINE~~ SERIES.

W, dated

191

CIRCULAR

NOT CIRCULAR

NOT SEEN BY

Receipt numbers

1111A
2110-72

Number and date of
previous proceedings.

1640914
9-11-11

Tabling Abstract.

Buildings — yards — Bellary — Alajpur.
Improvements — Camp —
~~Improvements to group~~ Order
passed

(b) Index Headings.

MAIN HEADING

Buildings —

CROSS REFERENCES.

SPECIAL INDEX.

DO NOT SPECIAL INDEX.